

Implementation, City and Borough of Sitka, AK, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Gary Garthier (907) 747-6281.

*EIS No. 980410*, FINAL EIS, AFS, SD, Veteran/Boulder Area Project, Enhancement of Vegetative Diversity, Improve Forest Health and to Improve Wildlife Habitats, Implementation, Black Hills National Forest, Spearfish and Nemo Ranger District, Lawrence and Meade Counties, SD, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Patricia Seay (605) 642-4622.

*EIS No. 980411*, FINAL EIS, AFS, WA, Sand Ecosystem Restoration Project, Implementation, Leavenworth Range District, Wenatchee National Forest, Chelan County, WA, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Bob Stoehr (509) 548-6977.

*EIS No. 980412*, DRAFT EIS, AFS, UT, Snowbird Ski and Summer Resort Master Development Plan, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Salt Lake and Lake Counties, Salt Lake City, UT, *Due*: November 30, 1998, *Contact*: Rob Cruz (801) 943-9483.

*EIS No. 980413*, DRAFT EIS, FHW, PA, US 202 (Section 600) Transportation Corridor, Improvement from Johnson Highway in Norristown to PA 309 in Montgomery Square, Major Investment Study, Montgomery County, PA, *Due*: December 11, 1998, *Contact*: Ronald W. Carmichael (717) 221-3461.

*EIS No. 980414*, FINAL EIS, USN, FL, Cecil Field Naval Air Station Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Jacksonville, Duval and Clay Counties, FL, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Robert Teague (843) 820-5785.

*EIS No. 980415*, FINAL EIS, COE, IN, Indiana Harbor and Canal Dredging and Confined Disposal Facility, Construction and Operation, Comprehensive Management Plan, East Chicago, Lake County, ID, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Keith Ryder (312) 353-6400.

*EIS No. 980416*, FINAL EIS, EPA, CA, San Francisco Bay Region, Long-Term Management Strategy (LTMS) for the Placement of Dredged Material for Disposal, several counties, CA, *Due*: November 16, 1998, *Contact*: Brian Ross (415) 744-1979.

Dated: October 13, 1998.

**William D. Dickerson**,  
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.  
[FR Doc. 98-27873 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5496-3]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared September 7, 1998 Through September 11, 1998 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated October 10, 1998 (62 FR 17856).

#### Draft EISs

*ERP No. D-COE-C39010-NJ* Rating EC2, Lower Cape May Meadows—Cape May Point Feasibility Study, Ecosystem Restoration, New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Cape May County, NJ.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the potential impact to Benthic Communities and water quality from beach nourishment maintenance activities, and the potential cumulative impacts associated with this and other erosion/storm damage protection projects in New Jersey.

*ERP No. D-COE-E32196-FL* Rating EC2, Jacksonville Harbor Navigation Channel Deepening Improvements, Construction, St. Johns River, Duval County, FL.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the various disposal options as well as the mitigation measures which may have to be implemented for this channel upgrade. Additional information will be necessary to address these matters.

*ERP No. D-COE-G36148-TX* Rating EC2, Dallas Floodway Extension, Implementation, Trinity River Basin, Flood Damage Reduction and Environmental Restoration, Dallas County, TX.

*Summary*: EPA expressed environmental concerns in the areas of water quality, wetlands, hazardous materials, environmental justice, land use, noise, visual and aesthetic impact, mitigation, and historic preservation.

*ERP No. DS-COE-F32069-IL* Rating LO, Chicago Area Confined Disposal Facility, Updated Information on Construction and Operation, Maintenance Dredging from Chicago River/Harbor, Calumet River and Harbor, Cook County, IL.

*Summary*: EPA had expressed lack of objection to proposed project.

*ERP No. DS-FRC-E03006-00* Rating EO2, North Alabama Pipeline Facilities, Additional Information, Amended Natural Gas Pipeline, Construction and Operation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Right-of-Way and NPDES Permits, Morgan, Limestone and Madison, AL.

*Summary*: EPA continues to have environmental objections to this proposed project due to projected environmental impacts that are avoidable through selection of the no-build alternative or a reasonable upgrade of the existing pipeline infrastructure.

#### Final EISs

*ERP No. F-COE-E36176-FL* C-51 West End Flood Control Project, Implementation To Improve the Level of Flood Control, Central and Southern Florida Project, Palm Beach County, FL.

*Summary*: EPA has confidence in the concept of the proposed stormwater treatment, EPA remains concerned over its long-term efficacy. Additional data will have to be collected/evaluated to address these concerns.

*ERP No. F-COE-K30030-CA* Unocal Avila Beach Cleanup Project, Petroleum Hydrocarbon Contamination, Approval and Implementation, US Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits Issuance, San Luis Obispo County, CA.

*Summary*: EPA continues to have concerns regarding the assessment and evaluation of intertidal zones 7 and its relation to additional NEPA documentation.

Dated: October 13, 1998.

**William D. Dickerson**,  
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.  
[FR Doc. 98-27874 Filed 10-15-98; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6177-1]

### Availability of FY 97 Grant Performance Report for the State of Tennessee

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice of availability of grantee performance evaluation report.

**SUMMARY**: EPA's grant regulations (40 CFR 35.150) require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency (40 CFR 56.7)